

## **ANNEX I**

---

**Summary tables of air pollution exposure research for**

**Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION**



**Annex I. Table 1.** Key design characteristics of past personal exposure and microenvironmental concentration studies

Pollutant(s)	exposure time frame	sampling time(s)	exposure data	target population	sampling frame	population sample	reference
NO <sub>2</sub>	long term	7 d 7 d 7 d 7 d	PPS PMSS POSS TAD MoPE MePE	families of Portage WI with school aged children	volunteer families	19 families 66 individuals	Quackenboss <i>et al.</i> 1982
CO	short term	continuous	PEM SAM TAD	nonsmoking residents (18-70 y) Washington, DC and Denver, CO	stratified probability sample	712 + 808	Ackland <i>et al.</i> 1985 Jungers <i>et al.</i> 1985
NO <sub>2</sub>	long term	7 d 7 d 7 d 7 d	PPS PMSS POSS TAD MoPE	families of Portage Wi with school aged children	stratified cluster sample	350	Quackenboss <i>et al.</i> 1986
VOC	full year	2 x 12 h	PAS BME EI BI	population of California over 7 y.	stratified probability sample	188	Hartwell <i>et al.</i> 1987
CO	short term	continuous	PEM TAD	Washington commuters	8 high exposure routes for autos 4 for busses 3 for rail	213 trips 35 trips 8 trips	Flashbart <i>et al.</i> 1987
CO	long term	2 x 1 d	PEM SAM SPE (MC)	urban non-smoking population of Denver, CO	random sample	336	Ott <i>et al.</i> , 1988
CO	short term	continuous	PEM SAM BME	non-smoking populations of Denver, CO, and Washington, DC	stratified probability sample	454 + 625	Wallace <i>et al.</i> 1988
CO	short-term	continuous	PEM SAM BME TAD SPE	non-smoking populations of Denver CO and Washington DC	random sample	555	Mage <i>et al.</i> 1989
NO <sub>2</sub>	short-term	2 x 0-24 h	PISS PPS TAD	population of Boston, MA	stratified probability sample	313	Ryan <i>et al.</i> 1989
PM10	short-term	14 x 24 h	PEM SIM SAM REQ	14 non-smoking adult individuals in Phillipsburg NJ	selected sample	14	Lioy <i>et al.</i> 1990
CO NO <sub>x</sub>	short term	cont -4 h cont -4 h	MAQM MAQM	highway commuters in Germany	field sampling - on highway	-	Rudolf 1990

**Table 1.(Cont)** Key design characteristics of past personal exposure and microenvironmental concentration studies

Pollutant(s)	exposure time frame	sampling time(s)	exposure data	target population	sampling frame	population sample	reference
CO NO <sub>x</sub>	short term	continuous	MAQM	commuters of Jerusalem	field sampling - on street	-	Luria <i>et al.</i> 1990
NO <sub>2</sub>		continuous	MAQM				
PM10	short term	24 h (?) 24 h	AISS SAM	children (6-15 y) in Tucson, AZ	stratified cluster sample	400	Quackenboss <i>et al.</i> 1991
		2 x 1 wk	PMSS		of county employees		
		continuous	SAM MIAQ TAD MoPE		and their families		
VOC CO O <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	short term	1 h 1 h 1 h 1 h continuous	AMSS AMSS AMSS AMSS SAM MMAQ	selected driving routes in Raleigh, NC during rush hours	field experim - with 2 automobiles	-	Chan <i>et al.</i> 1991
NO <sub>x</sub> SO <sub>2</sub> CO	short term	1 h 1 h 1 h continuous	AMSS AMSS AMSS SAM MMAQ	bus commuters and pedestrians of Hong kong	selected bus lines	-	Chan <i>et al.</i> 1993
CO NO <sub>2</sub> (car exch)	full year	20 h 1 wk continuous	PEM PPS SAM REQ HD TQ	day care center children in Helsinki	all children in 8 day care centers	250	Alm <i>et al.</i> 1993
							Alm <i>et al.</i> 1997
NO <sub>2</sub>	full year	8 h 24 h (?)	PPS SPE (MC) TAD REQ	people living in gas range homes in Los Angeles, CA	see Spengler et al. 1992	400	Özkaynak <i>et al.</i> 1993
TVOC SO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CO TSP	cross sect	1 wk "- 8 h 8 h 8 h 12 h	PISS POSS SIM SIM SIM PAS	rural South African population in cold winter regions using wood fuel	among the households in a farm in Transvaal Highveld	7 12 18 18 18	Terblanche <i>et al.</i> 1993
NO <sub>2</sub>	cross sect	7 x 24 h "- "- "- TAD REQ OEQ	PPS PISS POSS TAD REQ OEQ	Moscovites (pilot)	non-smoking volunteers from the Institute	10	Avaliani <i>et al.</i> 1993

**Table 1.(Cont)** Key design characteristics of past personal exposure and microenvironmental concentration studies

Pollutant(s)	exposure sampling time frame	sampling time(s)	exposure data	target population	sampling frame	population sample	reference
NO <sub>2</sub>	cross sect	continuous	SAM	infants in Berlin	infants	10	Dörre & Knauer 1993
		continuous	SIM		attending one		
		24 h	MoPE		day care facility		
			TAD				
			REQ				
NO <sub>2</sub>	May-July	3 x 24 h	PPS	adult residents of Zürich	residents in	13	Monn et al. 1993
		"	POSS	and its suburban towns	Zürich		
		"	PISS		residents in	13	
			MoPE		suburbs		
O <sub>3</sub>		3 x 24 h	PPS		(volunteers?)		
		"	POSS				
		"	PISS				
			MoPE				
		3 x 24 h	TAD				
			REQ				
NO <sub>2</sub>	winter	? x 24 h	PPS	schoolchoildren in Tokyo	children in	?	Muramatsu et al. 1993
		continuous	SAM	and suburbs	3 urban and		
		?	SIM (?)		2 rural schools		
HCHO		? x 24 h	PSD				
		?	SAM (?)				
		?	SIM (?)				
		? x 24 h	TAD				
			REQ				
			HD				
NO <sub>2</sub>	cross sect	24 h (?)	PAS	residents of Berlin (?)	?	?	Schauer & Dörre 1993
		continuous	SAM				
			MMAQ				
			MoPE				
			MePE				
		24 h	TAD				
			REQ				
NO <sub>2</sub>	full year	24 h	PPS	30-60 year old housewives	cluster	59	Song <i>et al.</i> 1993
		"	PMSS	in Beijing	random		
		"	POSS		sampling		
		"	TAD				
			REQ				
NO <sub>2</sub>	full year	48 h	PPS	residents of Los Angeles, CA	random	700	Xue <i>et al.</i> 1993
		48 h & 2 wk	POSS		representation		
		48 h & 2 wk	PMSS		sample		
		48 h	TAD				
			REQ				
			MePE				
			MoPE				
			MIAQ				

**Table 1.(Cont)** Key design characteristics of past personal exposure and microenvironmental concentration studies

<b>Pollutant(s)</b>	<b>exposure time frame</b>	<b>sampling time(s)</b>	<b>exposure data</b>	<b>target population</b>	<b>sampling frame</b>	<b>population sample</b>	<b>reference</b>
Nicotine (ETS)	cross sect	2 x 48 h -" -"	PPS PMSS TAD REI	schoolchildren in Taipei	stratified sampling of schools and classes random sampling of children	39	Chan <i>et al.</i> 1993
ETS	cross sect	1991	PAS EQ	homes in Atlanta GA	?	45 homes	Fisher 1993
ETS	long term	1984-90	EQ BQ BME	(self reported) non-smoking residents of Southern Germany	random sample	3036	Heller <i>et al.</i> 1993
ETS	cross sect	1991	BQ SEQ HSQ	children of (self reported) smoking parents in Espoo, Finland	random sample	1003	Jaakkola <i>et al.</i> 1993
ETS Nicotine	cross sect	7 d	EQ PPS BME	(self reported) non-smoking pregnant women in the U.S.	random sample minus dropouts	415	O'Connor <i>et al.</i> 1993
PM <sub>10</sub> PM <sub>2.5</sub> Nicotine	48 d	2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h 48 x 1 d 2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h	PEM SIM SAM AOSS TAD EQ	Non-smoking residents of Riverside, CA	stratified probability sample	178	Wallace <i>et al.</i> 1993 Thomas <i>et al.</i> 1994
PM <sub>10</sub> PM <sub>2.5</sub> Nicotine	long term	2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h 48 x 1 d 2 x 12 h 2 x 12 h	PEM SIM SAM AOSS TAD EQ	Residents of Riverside, CA	stratified probability sample	175	Özkaynak <i>et al.</i> 1993 Clayton <i>et al.</i> 1994 Özkaynak <i>et al.</i> 1996
CO	short term	1-8 h	PEM SAM	residents near main roads in Oslo Vålerenga Gammelby area	?	10	Larsen <i>et al.</i> 1993
NO <sub>2</sub>		1-24 h	PPS SAM			15	
PM <sub>10</sub> Black smoke		24 h 24 h	AOSS AOSS BME TAD REQ HSQ HD MoPE			153 1028 1028 153	
VOC	short term		PAS AISS TQ	commuters of Taipei, bus and MC	random sample	3+3 1694	Chan <i>et al.</i> 1993

**Table 1.(Cont)** Key design characteristics of past personal exposure and microenvironmental concentration studies

Pollutant(s)	exposure time frame	sampling time(s)	exposure data	target population	sampling frame	population sample	reference
NO <sub>2</sub>	short-term	48 h	PPS PISS POSS TAD	population of the Los Angeles Basin	population representative sample	682	Spengler <i>et al.</i> 1994
PM <sub>10</sub>	short term	24 h	PAS SAM AOSS EQ	Schoolchildren in Wageningen and Amsterdam, the Netherlands	children from four schools	45	Janssen <i>et al.</i> 1997

## Abbreviations used

AISS = active indoor stationary sampler	OEI = occupational exposure interview
AMSS = active microenvironmental stationary sampler	OEQ = occupational exposure questionnaire
AOSS = active outdoor stationary sampler	PAS = personal active sampler
ASD = active stationary sampler	PEM = personal exposure monitor
ASD = active sampling device	PISS = passive indoor stationary sampler
BI = behaviour interview	PMSS = passive microenvirons.. stationary sampler
BME = biomarker of exposure	POSS = passive outdoor stationary sampler
BQ = behaviour questionnaire	PPS = personal passive sampler
EI = exposure interview	PSD = passive sampling device
EQ = exposure questionnaire	PSS = passive stationary sampler
HD = health diary	REI = residential environmental interview
HSI = health status interview	REQ = residential environmental questionnaire
HSQ = health status questionnaire	SAM = stationary ambient air monitor
MAQM = mobile air quality monitor	SEI = socioeconomic interview
MEM = microenvironmental monitor	SEQ = socioeconomic questionnaire
MePE = measurement of personal exposure	SIM = stationary indoor air monitor
MoIAQ = modeling of indoor air quality	SPE = simulation of population exposure
MoMAQ = modeling of microenvironmental air quality	TAD = time activity diary
MoOAQ = modeling of outdoor air quality	TI = transportation interview
MoPE = modeling of personal exposures	TQ = transportation questionnaire
MoTPE = modeling of total personal exposure	

**Table 2.** Microenvironmental characterizations used in personal exposure studies

home indoor	home outdoor	work	traffic	other indoor	other outdoor	reference
inside home cooking/helping near smoking	outside		inside a vehicle	other indoors near smoking	outside	Quackenboss & Kanarek 1982
residence			car other Walking	parking garage restaurant office Store, mall	near roadway	Ackland <i>et al.</i> 1985
inside home	outside/any	inside/work inside school	inside a vehicle	inside/other	outside/any	Quackenboss <i>et al.</i> 1986
cooking/no gas residence/no smokers sleeping cooking with gas residence with smokers		office/no smokers store, shop indoor restaurant indoor health care facility school	automobile bus truck motorcycle	office/no smokers store, shop indoor restaurant indoor health care facility church Other public building Other indoor Office w.smokers	other outdoor open air excercise walking, cycling	Ott <i>et al.</i> 1988 (*
home		work/school	out-roads	other indoors	out-any	Quackenboss <i>et al.</i> 1991
no gas stove gas stove no smoke smoke	outdoor	day care centre/no gas day care centre/gas day care/outdoor	walk/bike car bus/tram metro/train			Alm <i>et al.</i> 1995
kitchen other rooms	outdoor	work school	in-vehicle		outdoor	Özkaynak <i>et al.</i> 1993
kitchen bedroom	outdoor	indoor outdoor cooking			outdoor	Avaliani <i>et al.</i> 1993
living and sleeping rooms kitchen		child care facility	traffic		outdoors	Dörre & Knauer 1993
indoor		indoor		indoor	outdoor	Monn <i>et al.</i> 1993
living room kitchen bathroom sleeping room		workplace recreation room	traffic	indoor-other	outdoor	Schauer & Dörre 1993
kitchen living room bedroom	home outdoor					Song <i>et al.</i> 1993
kitchen/gas kitchen/no gas home/other		inside/work inside/school	outside/major road		outside other	Xue <i>et al.</i> 1993

\*) one more microenvironment for “high exposure”

**Table 3.** Personal fine PM exposures and microenvironmental levels in a number of studies.

Reference	Mean (geom) PM exposure or microenvironmental concentration [ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ]	Indoor, home	Indoor, work	outdr, home	outdr, work	outdr, central site
* PTEAM						
Clayton et al. 1993	PM2.5	35		38		33 probability day sample of 178 non smoking residents >10 yr in Riverside, CA
		27		37		33 probability night sample of 178 non smoking residents >10 yr in Riverside, CA
	PM10	129	78	83		76 probability day sample of 178 non smoking residents >10 yr in Riverside, CA
		68	53	74		61 probability night sample of 178 non smoking residents >10 yr in Riverside, CA
* Six Cities						
Spengler et al. 1981	PM3.5	24		21		non smokers in six cities
Sexton et al. 1984	RSP	36	25	19		Waterbury VA, 24 homes, 2 weeks
Spengler et al. 1985	PM3.5	44	42		18	Kingston-Harriman, 101 participants, home indoor
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	20		19		70 nonsmoking homes in Steubenville, winter
		25		30		70 nonsmoking homes in Steubenville, summer
		15		10		70 nonsmoking homes in Portage, winter
		14		14		70 nonsmoking homes in Portage, summer
* New York State						
Sheldone et al. 1989 (*)	PM2.5	26		16		Onondaga county, 224 homes
	PM2.5	36		19		Suffolk county, 209 homes
* Other studies						
Lebret et al. 1985, 1990 (*)	RSP	58			45	
Diemel et al. 1981 (*)	RSP	120			64	101 residences near a lead smelter in Arnhem, NL
Kulmala et al. 1987 (*)	PM1.0	16			20	100 dwellings and office buildings in Helsinki
	PM>1.0	13			16	37 nonsmoking adults in Amsterdam
Janssen et al. 1995 (*)	PM10	61	35	42	33	13 children in Amsterdam and 32 in Wageningen
		104		126		5 smoking homes in Houston TX
Kim & Stock 1986 (*)	PM2.5	33		25		6 nonsmoking homes in Houston, TX
Bahadori et al. 1995 (*)	PM2.5	22	16	12		10 nonsmoking COPD patients in Nashville TN, daytime samples
	PM10	33	22	23		10 nonsmoking COPD patients in Nashville TN, daytime samples
	PM2.5			33		10 nonsmoking COPD patients in Nashville TN, nighttime samples
	PM10			22		10 nonsmoking COPD patients in Nashville TN, nighttime samples
Colome et al. 1990 (*)	PM10		43	32		10 nonsmoking asthmatics in Orange County, CA
Mumford et al. 1991 (*)	PM10		56	61		8 mobile homes with kerosene heaters
Lioy et al. 1990 (THEES)	PM10	86	54	60		8 nonsmoking homes for 14 winter days in Phillipsburg, NJ *
Turk et al. 1987 (*)	PM3.0			24	14	38 commercial buildings in NW USA
Boudet et al. 1997	PM2.5	9 mean				40 nonsmoking asthmatic adult volunteers in Grenoble, France
		7 indoor exposure				
		30 outdoor exposure				

\*) In Wallace 1996

**Table 4.** Impacts of the presence of different sources on personal PM exposures and microenvironmental levels according to a number of studies..

Reference	Mean (geom) PM exposure or microenvironmental concentration [ $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ]	no smoke	smoke	smoke + cook + gasstove+	kerosnht+woodstv+ humif+
* PTEAM					
Clayton et al. 1993	PM2.5			27	13
				32	
	PM10	72	114	29	26
		47	84	38	12
	PM10	131	127		
		63	97		
* Six Cities					
Spengler et al. 1981	PM3.5	24	37	1 smoker 70 > 1 smoker	
	PM2.5	17	49		home indoor concentrations of 1050 children in the 6 cities S
Neas et al. 1995 (*	PM3.5	28	74		Kingston-Harriman, 101 participants home indoor
Spengler et al. 1985	PM2.5				Watertown, St. Louis, Kingston-Harriman, 3x300 children, ho
Spengler et al. 1987 (*)	PM2.5			30	70 nonsmoking homes in Steubenville, winter
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	20	44		70 nonsmoking homes in Steubenville, summer
		25	50		70 nonsmoking homes in Portage, winter
		15	35		70 nonsmoking homes in Portage, summer
* New York State					
Sheldon et al. 1989 (*)	PM2.5	14	37	5	Onondaga county, 224 homes
Sheldon et al. 1989 (*)	PM2.5	17	49	30	Suffolk county, 209 homes
Leaderer&Hammond 1991	PM2.5	15	44		subset of 96 homes with nicotine controlled smoking status
* other studies					
Jenkins et al. 1994, 1995 (PM3.5)	15	20	ETS at work		personal exposures of 16 x 100 nonsmoking individuals
		23	ETS at home		
		34	ETS at work and home		
Lebret et al. 1985, 1990 (* RSP		30	70		260 homes in Ede and Rotterdam
Heavner et al. 1995 (*)	PM3.5	28	87		homes of 104 NJ females
		30	67		workplaces of 104 NJ females
Quackenboss et al. 1989 (PM2.5)	15	27	< 1 pack per day		98 homes in Tucson, AZ
		61	> 1 pack per day	8	
Hightsmith et al. 1988, 1991	PM2.5	18			11 homes in Houston TX
Kim & Stock 1986 (*	PM2.5	11	33		13 Houston homes
Morandi et al. 1986 (*	PM3.5	19	89 active smo	7	8 mobile homes with kerosene heaters
Mumford et al. 1991 (*)	PM10	56		27	542 test of ultrasonic humidifiers in homes using tapwater
Hightsmith et al. 1988 (*)	PM2.5	11			27 test of ultrasonic humidifiers in homes using distilled water
Turk et al. 1987 (*)	PM3.0	15	44		38 commercial buildings in NW USA
* In Wallace 1996					

**Table 5.** Source apportionment of PM in personal exposures, indoor air and ambient air according to a number of studies.

Reference	Source apportionment			woodburning			cooking			soil related (2)			Industry & heating(3)			all traffic (4)			diesel			secondary (5)			Additional specifications		
	outdoor air (1)	ETS	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	%			
<b>• Personal exposure</b>																											
• Indoor air	There exists no published data																										
Koutrakis et al. 1992 (*	PM2.5	9	60	non smoking																							
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	15	30	26	54																						
Ozkanak et al. 1996	PM2.5	20	46	4	10																						
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	27	54	4	21																						
Ozkanak et al. 1996	PM2.5	25	71	1	3																						
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	10	40	1	6																						
Ozkanak et al. 1996	PM10	60	30	3	25																						
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM10	62	5																								
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM10	56	24	3	3																						
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM10	58	4		25																						
<b>• Ambient air</b>																											
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	4	23	2	10	5	25																				
Santanna et al. 1990 (*)	PM2.5	1	13		23	79	<<..																				
Vega et al. 1997	PM2.5																										
Schauer et al. 1995	PM3.5	3	3	4																							
Ruiskanen 1996	PM10	1	11																								
<b>• Indoor air PM</b>																											
) in Wallace 1996																											
1) Contribution to indoor air PM																											
2) Soil material and resuspended road dust																											
3) Mostly primary particles, sulfates go to secondary																											
4) Only gasoline if diesel particles presented separately																											
5) sulfate, nitrate and ammonia particles																											
6) Included in the arrow pointed column																											

Los Angeles, 1982, Pasadena

NYS

Los Angeles, 1982, Downtowm

78

West LA

1982, Rubidoux

Kugel, winter, 1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

1994

**Table 6.** Health effects of different VOC:s

**Table 7. Personal and microenvironmental VOC data from a number of studies**

VOC	PACE CONC. 1987-9 ( $\mu\text{g/m}^3$ )	Hartwell et al. 1981 Canada: Europe & A. 13 countries	Chan et al. 1991 Raleigh, NC	Faust & Chan 1993 Raleigh, NC	Gill et al. 1994 Taspei resider	Cattaneo et al. 1993 Finland	Weisel et al. 1994 suburb
microenvironment	gas sites self-use person outdoor traffic	gas sites self-use person outdoor traffic	outdoor traffic	outdoor traffic	outdoor traffic	outdoor traffic	outdoor traffic
sampled medium	vacuum canister	vacuum canister	passive pump	passive pump	passive pump	passive pump	passive pump
analysis	x1000	x1000	GCFID	GCFID	GCFID	GCFID	GCFID
Alkanes/cycloalkanes							
propane	0.42						
isobutane	1.5 3-149	9.1	3.9	8.5	8		
n-butane	2.2 2-256	54	17	65	41		
1,3-butadiene	0-11	3.3	1.2	2.9	1.2		
pentane	2.5 2-45	31	18	83	16		
isopentane	1.2 0-152	69	1.2	2.9	53		
hexane	5 0-16	14	3.3	11	7.4 7-22	35	
isohexane					9.22	35-124	
isopentane	0.3	1.3	0.9	4.2	2.9 5-26	62-167	2
isooctane (SUm)					7.23		
octane	0.04	4.1	1.9	0.4	1.4 3-15	38-108	8
isooctane	0.02		0.6	0.3	0.2	0.9 5-31	29-87
nonane					3-18		5
isnonane						4	
decane	0.04	2.2	1.1	1.5	0.4	1.4 5-82	18-79
undecane			1.9	0.4	1.5	1.4 6-28	4-17
dodecane						5-22	
tridecane							
tetradecane							
pentadecane							
hexadecane							
2-methylpentane	1.2 1-22						
3-methylpentane	0.8 1-14						
2-methylcyclopentane	0-4						
2-methylhexane	0.2						
3-methylhexane	0.3						
2-methylheptane	0.04						
3-ethylpentane	0.2						
2,2,3-trimethylbutane	0.2						
2,2,4-trimethylpentane	0.1						
2,3,3-trimethylpentane	0-14						
2,3,4-trimethylpentane							
2,2-dimethylbutane	0.18						
2,3-dimethylbutane	0.1 0-7						
2,3-dimethylhexane	0.2						
2,2,3-trimethylbutane	0.2						
2,2,4-trimethylpentane	0.1						
2,3,3-trimethylpentane	0.1						
2,4-dimethylpentane	0.3						
2,5,5-trimethyloctane	0.2						
2,2,4,6,6-pentamethylneopentane	2						
metacyclopeptane							
cyclopentane	1,1						
cyclohexane	1-0.5						
metacyclohexane	0.5						
diethylcyclohexane	0.2						
Alkenes							
trans-2-butene	0.03 0-20						
cis-2-butene	0.1 0-16						
trans-2-pentene	2.7 0-12						
trans-3-methyl-2-pentene	0.2						
2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene							
2-methyl-1-butene	0.9						
2-methyl-2-butene	0.8						
2-methyl-2-butene	0.02						
1-nexene	0.9						
4-phenylcyclohexene							
neoprene							
1-heptene	0.2						
cis-4,4-dimethylpentene							
Aromatics							
benzene	0.44 0-13	15	14	12	1.9	12	1.2
toluene	0.2 0-17	47	8.2	48	31 62-190	140-354	38
ethylbenzene	0.03	8	7.1	8.8	1.5	5.9 7-25	124-349
m&p-xylene	0.002	23	21	5	32	10-20	46
p-xylene	0.02	10	7.9	11	1.9	7.6 5-18	192-549
styrene	0.06	2.3	1.9				
naphtalene							
propynene							
isopropynbenzene (cumene)	0.02						



